

#### St Anne's C of E Primary School

At St Anne's we believe the targeted and strategic use of the Pupil Premium Grant will support us in achieving our vision.

#### **Principles**

- We ensure that teaching and learning opportunities meet the needs of all pupils.
- We ensure that appropriate provision is made for pupils who belong to vulnerable groups, this includes ensuring that the needs of socially disadvantaged pupils are adequately assessed and addressed.
- In making provision for socially disadvantaged pupils, we recognise that not all pupils who receive free school meals will be socially disadvantaged.
- We also recognise that not all pupils who are socially disadvantaged are registered or qualify for free school meals.
- We reserve the right to allocate the Pupil Premium funding to support any pupil or groups of pupils the school has legitimately identified as being socially disadvantaged, by working within groups of pupils who are entitled to Pupil Premium.
- Pupil premium funding will be allocated following a needs analysis which will identify priority classes, groups or individuals. Limited funding and resources means that not all children receiving free school meals may be in receipt of pupil premium interventions at any one time.

#### PROVISION

- The range of provision the Governors may consider making for this group of pupils could include:
  - Maintaining class sizes, thus maximizing opportunities for effective AfL and accelerating progress.
  - Providing small group work with an experienced teacher, focussed on overcoming gaps in learning.
  - 1:1 support.
  - Additional teaching and learning opportunities provided through trained TAs or personnel from external agencies.
  - Access to commercially produced programmes to develop basic literacy and numeracy.
  - Providing social, emotional and spiritual support through the school chaplain or trained TAs.
  - · Effective CPD for staff.
- All our work with Pupil Premium children will be aimed at accelerating progress; moving children to at least age related expectations. Initially this will be in English and Maths.
- Pupil Premium resources may also be used to target eligible able children to achieve greater depth by the end of KS1 and greater depth or a high SATs score by the end of KS2.

 Provision will take into account the findings of the Sutton report and guidelines from OfSTED on the most effective strategies for improving pupil progress.

#### REPORTING

- It will be the responsibility of the Head Teacher, or a delegated member of staff, to produce regular reports for the Governors on:
  - the progress made towards narrowing the gap, by year group, children in receipt of PPG
  - comparison of their progress with their peers, in school and nationally.
  - an outline of the provision that was made since the last meeting.
  - an outline of spending of the PP funding received
- It will be the responsibility of the Chairperson or Headteacher to ensure this information is made known to the full governing body
- The Governors of the school will ensure that there is an annual statement to parents on how the Pupil Premium funding has been used to address the issue of 'narrowing the gap', for socially disadvantaged pupils. This task will be carried out within the requirements published by the Department for Education and published on the schools website

#### **APPEALS**

Any appeals against this policy will be through the school's complaints procedure.

## Pupil Premium grant expenditure 2015-16

To support disadvantaged pupils at St Anne's C of E Primary School Pupil Premium funding was targeted at:

- The main areas of the curriculum- Reading, Writing and Maths- to raise attainment and improve rates of progress.
- Narrowing the gap in attainment in reading, writing and maths between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged children
- Increased access to a greater range of experiences and support

## Percentage of disadvantaged children in each year group (2015)

YrR	Yr1	Yr2	Yr3	Yr4	Yr5	Yr6
9%	3%	13%	16%	28%	10%	31%

The school received a Pupil Premium grant of £39,600 which was spent in a variety of ways to meet the needs of eligible children:

- small group or 1:1 support
- purchase of resources
- additional staffing
- · enrichment activities
- social, emotional and spiritual support

	Summary of Spe	nding 2015 - 2016	
Human resources and F	Professional Developmen	t:	
Provision	Description	Cost	Impact
Funding directed at provision of additional teachers	0.4 Year 6 additional teacher in Writing and Maths; 0.6 Year 1 additional teacher- Writing and Maths; targeted support for Maths one afternoon per week from a specialist support teacher and for Writing, three morning sessions per week (HT)	£21,784	Small groups allowing children to benefit from individualised learning support. Leading to increased levels of confidence and achievement.
Support for English and Maths coordinators	LA support in monitoring quality of T and L, Marking and Feedback, with a particular focus on progress of PP children in a given cohort (HT, Maths and English Coordinators)	£1,500	Maths and English co- ordinators have accurate picture of current progress of children and support staff in subsequent planning to meet needs more accurately. Increased levels of support and challenge.
LA support and bespoke training for all in Maths	'Approaches to Problem Solving and Reasoning within the new curriculum'four bespoke twilight sessions- group and 1:1 sessions ( <i>HT</i> , <i>Maths</i> Coordinator)	£150	Increased levels of support and challenge for all cohorts.
Speech and Language support and resourcing	Brokering Speech and Language external support via bespoke Service Level agreement, to include staff support and resourcing advice. (HT, SENCO)	£4500	Speech and language support for all children who need this. Improved communication to lead to increase in self esteem.
School Chaplain	Funding to support newly-created role of Chaplain: SMSC and PSHE coordinator; attendance and punctuality; Child Protection; pastoral care; Parent Support Adviser; PTA liaison, coordination of nine pupil voice groups including the Junior Management Team to raise self-esteem, enhance leadership and teamwork skills and support awards - Investing in Children, Eco Schools Green Flag, Rights Respecting Level 2, Enhanced Healthy Schools, Gold Award of Sustainable Travel, BIG Anti Bullying. (HT, Chaplain)	£11,250	Improve standards Support for vulnerable children and their families Enrichment and self- esteem building type group memberships

Booster Sessions and Targeted TA support	Staffing and resources for booster sessions at all	£2, 500	Literacy and Numeracy booster activities to narrow
Targeted 17t support	levels (HT, DH, AH) Redeployment of TAs to ensure maximum impact, including provision of an		gaps. Support pupils entitled to PPG to achieve at least age related expectations.
	additional hour before school to support one to one reading programme. (AH)		1:1 reading activities to provide improved reading and comprehension skills and promote success and, therefore, a love of reading.
CPD for TAs	Source appropriate training	£300	Upskilling of TAs in
	for TAs via membership of Prince Bishops Teaching Schools Alliance (HT, AH)		provision of challenge in maths and speech and language support. Improve support to children to achieve at all levels.
	<u> </u>		
Assessment and Monito			
New Tracking and Assessment System PD in this for staff and Governors PP children closely monitored by class teachers	Provision of new tracking and assessment system for core subjects, to inform class action plans and cohort files. Externally-sourced bespoke PD for both staff and Governors on the implementation of the new system (Data Liaison Manager) and associated training and support delivered by external data analyst.	£1500	Accurate tracking and assessment of pupils leading to teachers having in depth knowledge of their cohorts, and in particular PP children, enabling targeted planning and interventions to be used. Work matched closely to needs of children leading to increased levels of performance.
Curriculum Resources		<u> </u>	
Maths intervention	Change approach to intervention in Maths through implementation of the Pre- and Post- Learning Challenges and Approach to Learning Programmecost of PD and resources (HT, DH, AH)	£500	Pre learning challenges allow teachers to have an accurate picture of where pupils are before beginning a unit of work. Planning can therefore be tailored to needs of pupils.
Online maths resource	Mathletics Programme	£1000	To engage and motivate children in basic maths skills.
	ment and Enhancement.		
Experience Mapping	Map enrichment and enhancement so all children have opportunity to benefit		To ensure a fair distribution of curriculum enrichment and enhancement (HT)
Extra-curricular	Sainsbury's School Sports	£2000	Provide access to a wide
projects in a range of	Programme, Global		variety of enrichment
curricular areas:	Learning Programme, Choir competition, We are		activities to increase self confidence/ self esteem,
	Ten Arts Mark with Auckland Castle, DT Project with local		build team working skills, physical skills, arts experiences, computing
	entrepreneur, Heritage Hunters Auckland Castle project, Riverfly Project, STEM Robotics		skills, faith and spiritual events. Increased self confidence and self esteem to lead to improved attitude
	Programme, Citizenship 10 week programme, British History Folk programme, Choir and Durham		to work and self belief, leading to improved outcomes.
	Choristers Programme, Bike It Bikeability, Thrills		

Funding for enrichment	and Skills, Geocaching, Multi-Faith Event (HT)+ Free after-school activities, clubs, LA music tuition and the annual residential for Y5 and 6.	£2000	Ensure disadvantaged children have opportunities to access all enrichment school can provide.
	All children eligible for PP funding offered a substantial breakfast.	£100	Ensuring a good start to the day. Children prepared for learning.
Outside links	Links with local business and universities (HT)	£300	To broaden horizons of children to wider world of education and opportunities beyond this.
Programme of aspirational visitors:	parents, artists, authors and sportsmen, pantomime, theatre groups (HT)	£500	Ensure disadvantaged children have opportunities to access all enrichment school can provide.

#### Proposed Spending 2016-2017

Spending will be focussed in the areas of reading and writing in response to the outcomes from the previous year for PP children. Children need to be supported in these subjects in Y4, Y5 and Y6 in particular.

## **Outcomes End of KS1 and KS2**

The following documents report on the outcomes of pupil premium children at the end of Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2.

# St Annes CE Primary

# ST. ANNES

# School Disadvantage vs National

Percentage of pupils working at expected standard or greater depth Pupil Premium Analysis

PERF	ORMANCE		2016		The graphs below show how
	DATA		Girls	Total	disadvantaged pupils in school (School PP) compare against all pupils nationally (National All),
No. of pupils	All	16	14	30	disadvantaged pupils nationally (National PP) and non-disadvantaged
School Pupil	PP	2	0	2	nationally (National Non PP)
Premium	SEN	0	0	0	
Cohort	EAL	0	0	0	
	LAC	0	0	0	
	School PP	100.0%		100.0%	% expected standard or greater depth
DEADING	National All Pupils	70.0%	78.0%	74.0%	School PP 100%
READING TA	GAP School PP vs National All	30.0%		26.0%	74% National Al 77% National Non PP 60% National PP
	National Non PP	73.0%	81.0%	77.0%	
	National PP	54.0%	65.0%	60.0%	0.0% 20.0% 40.0% 60.0% 80.0% 100.0%
	School PP	100.0%		100.0%	% expected standard or greater depth
	National All Pupils	59.0%	73.0%	65.0%	School PP goos
WRITING TA	GAP School PP vs National All	41.0%		35.0%	65% National All 68% National Non PP 50% National PP
	National Non PP	61.0%	75.0%	68.0%	
	National PP	42.0%	58.0%	50.0%	0.0% 20.0% 40.0% 60.0% 80.0% 100.0%
	School PP	100.0%		100.0%	% expected standard or greater depth
MATHS	National All Pupils	72.0%	74.0%	73.0%	School PP 100%
TA	GAP School PP vs National All	28.0%		27.0%	73% National All 75% National Non PP 58% National PP
	National Non PP	74.0%	76.0%	75.0%	
	National PP	56.0%	59.0%	58.0%	0.0% 20.0% 40.0% 60.0% 80.0% 100.0%

The data shows that, by the end of KS1, PP children out-performed All Pupils nationally, Non PP children nationally and PP children nationally, in Reading, Writing and Maths.

# St Annes CE Primary

# School Gap Analysis

Percentage of pupils working at expected standard or greater depth

Pupil Premium Analysis



PERFORMANCE DATA			2016		KEY: The graphs below show the difference between Pupil Premium and Non		
		Boys	Girls	Total	Pupil Premium Pupils  Non Pupil Premium Pupil Premium		
No. of pupils	All	16	14	30	- Non Papil Premium		
	PP	2	0	2	Number of pupils		
Pupil Premium	SEN EAL	0	0	0	2		
•	LAC	0	0	0			
	Non PP	14	14	28			
Non Pupil	SEN	0	0	0			
Premium	EAL	0	0	0	28		
	LAC	0	0	0			
	ALL	81.3%	92.9%	86.7%	% expected standard or greater depth		
READING	PP	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%		
TA	Non PP	78.6%	92.9%	85.7%	90.0% 86%		
	GAP	21.4%		14.3%	70.0%		
	ALL	75.0%	85.7%	80.0%	% expected standard or greater depth		
WRITING	PP	100.0%		100.0%	150.0% 100%		
TA	Non PP	71.4%	85.7%	78.6%	50.0%		
	GAP	28.6%		21.4%	0.0%		
	ALL	87.5%	85.7%	86.7%	% expected standard or greater depth		
MATHS	pp	100.0%		100.0%	110.0% 100% 100.0%		
TA	Non PP	85.7%	85.7%	85.7%	90.0% 86%		
	GAP	14.3%		14.3%	70.0%		
	ALL	75.0%	85.7%	80.0%	% expected standard or greater depth		
RWM*	pp	100.0%		100.0%	150.0% 100% 100%		
TA	Non PP	71.4%	85.7%	78.6%	50.0%		
	GAP	28.6%		21.4%	0.0%		

The data shows that PP children out-performed non PP children in reading, writing and maths.

# St Annes CE Primary



## Pupil Characteristics Analysis

Percentage of pupils working at expected standard or greater depth

PERFORMANCE			National 2016			
DATA	No. of Pupils	Reading	Writing	Maths	RWM*	RWM*
ALL	30	86.7%	80.0%	86.7%	80.0%	***
Boys	16	81.3%	75.0%	87.5%	75.0%	***
Girls	14	92.9%	85.7%	85.7%	85.7%	***
Pupil Premium	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	***
Non Pupil Premium	28	85.7%	78.6%	85.7%	78.6%	***
SEN	0					***
No SEN	30	86.7%	80.0%	86.7%	80.0%	***
EAL	0					***
Non EAL	30	86.7%	80.0%	86.7%	80.0%	***

<sup>\*</sup>RWM - Reading, writing & maths combined

## Percentage of pupils working at greater depth

SCHOOL			National 2016			
PERFORMANCE DATA	No. of Pupils	Reading	Writing	Maths	RWM*	RWM*
ALL	30	33.3%	30.0%	33.3%	20.0%	***
Boys	16	18.8%	25.0%	31.3%	18.8%	***
Girls	14	50.0%	35.7%	35.7%	21.4%	***
Pupil Premium	2	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	***
Non Pupil Premium	28	35.7%	32.1%	32.1%	21.4%	***
SEN	0					***
No SEN	30	33.3%	30.0%	33.3%	20.0%	***
EAL	0					***
Non EAL	30	33.3%	30.0%	33.3%	20.0%	***

<sup>\*</sup>RWM - Reading, writing & maths combined

Comparison to national data will be added as it becomes available.

# **St Annes CE Primary**



#### Pupil Premium Analysis

Percentage of pupils achieving expected standard (100+ SATs or EXS/GDS Writing TA)

PERFORMANCE DATA		2016			KEY: The graphs below show the difference between Puol Premium and Non Puol
Expected Sta	Expected Standard		Girls	Total	Premium Pupils
No. of pupil	S	19	10	29	■ Pupil Premium ■ Non Pupil Premium
Pupil Premium	PP	3	4	7	7
Pupil Premium pupils	SEN	1	1	2	′
characteristics:	EAL	0	1	1	
Non Pupil Premium	LAC Non PP	0 16	0	0	
	NON PP	16	6	22	
Non Pupil Premium pupils characteristics:	EAL	0	0	0	
popes diaracteristics:	LAC	0	0	0	22
	ALL	84.2%	80.0%	82.8%	% expected standard or high standard
SPaG* TEST	PP	66.7%	50.0%	57.1%	100.0% 57%
SPAG, IEST	Non PP	87.5%	100.0%	90.9%	50.0%
	GAP	20.8%	50.0%	33.8%	0.0%
	ALL	89.5%	90.0%	89.7%	% expected standard or high standard
READING	PP	66.7%	75.0%	71.4%	200.0% 95%
TEST	Non PP	93.8%	100.0%	95.5%	100.0% 71% 33A
	GAP	27.1%	25.0%	24.0%	0.0%
	ALL	89.5%	100.0%	93.1%	% expected standard or high standard
MATHS	PP	66.7%	100.0%	85.7%	100.0% 95%
TEST	Non PP	93.8%	100.0%	95.5%	90.0% 86%
	GAP	27.1%	0.0%	9.7%	80.0%
	ALL	89.5%	100.0%	93.1%	% expected standard or high standard
WRITING	PP	66.7%	100.0%	85.7%	100.0% 95%
TA	Non PP	93.8%	100.0%	95.5%	90.DN 86%
	GAP	27.1%	0.0%	9.7%	80.0%
RWM**	ALL	84.2%	90.0%	86.2%	% expected standard or high standard
TEST (Reading /	PP	66.7%	75.0%	71.4%	100.0% 71%
Maths)	Non PP	87.5%	100.0%	90.9%	50.0%
TA (Writing)	GAP	20.8%	25.0%	19.5%	0.0%

<sup>\*</sup> SPaG - Spelling, punctuation and grammar

The data shows that PP children did not perform as well as non PP children in SPAG, reading, writing or maths at the expected standard.

<sup>\*\*</sup> RWM - Reading, Writing TA and Maths combined

# St Annes CE Primary



#### **Pupil Premium Analysis**

Percentage of pupils achieving high standard (high score SATs or GDS Writing TA)

PERFORMANC	PERFORMANCE DATA		2016		KEY: The graphs below show the difference between Pupil Premium and Non Pupil
High Stand	lard	Boys	Girls	Total	Premium Pupils  Pupil Premium   Non Pupil Premium
No. of pupil	5	19	10	29	= ropi riemoni = Non rupi riemium
Pupil Premium	PP	3	4	7	7
Pupil Premium pupils	SEN	1	1	2	/
characteristics:	EAL	0	1	1	
	LAC	0	0	0	
Non Pupil Premium	Non PP SEN	16	6	22	
Non Pupil Premium	EAL	0	0	0	
pupils characteristics:	LAC	0	0	0	22
	ALL	31.6%	40.0%	34.5%	% expected standard or high standard
CD-C* TTCT	PP	33.3%	25.0%	28.6%	40.0% 29%
SPaG* TEST	Non PP	31.3%	50.0%	36.4%	20.0%
	GAP	2.1%	25.0%	7.8%	0.0%
	ALL	26.3%	50.0%	34.5%	% expected standard or high standard
READING	PP	0.0%	50.0%	28.6%	40.0% 29%
TEST	Non PP	31.3%	50.0%	36.4%	20.0%
	GAP	31.3%	0.0%	7.8%	0.0%
	ALL	63.2%	50.0%	58.6%	% expected standard or high standard
MATHS	PP	33.3%	25.0%	28.6%	100.0% 68%
TEST	Non PP	68.8%	66.7%	68.2%	50.0% 29%
	GAP	35.4%	41.7%	39.6%	0.0%
	ALL	31.6%	60.0%	41.4%	% expected standard or high standard
WRITING	PP	33.3%	50.0%	42.9%	42.0% 43% 41%
TA	Non PP	31.3%	66.7%	40.9%	40.0%
	GAP	2.1%	16.7%	1.9%	30.0%
RWM**	ALL	21.1%	30.0%	24.1%	% expected standard or high standard
TEST (Reading /	PP	0.0%	25.0%	14.3%	40.0% 27%
Maths)	Non PP	25.0%	33.3%	27.3%	20.0% 14%
TA (Writing)	GAP	25.0%	8.3%	13.0%	0.2%

<sup>\*</sup> SPaG - Spelling, punctuation and grammar

The data shows that whilst PP children did not perform as well as non PP children in SPAG, reading or maths, they did out-perform them in writing at high standard.

<sup>\*\*</sup> RWM - Reading, Writing TA and Maths combined

# **St Annes CE Primary**



#### **Pupil Premium Analysis**

Average scaled score

PERFORMANC	E DATA		2016		KEY: The graphs below show the difference between Puol Premium and Non Puol
Avg. scaled	Avg. scaled score		Girls	Total	Premium Pupils
No. of pupil	s	19	10	29	■ Pupil Premium ■ Non Pupil Premium
Pupil Premium	PP	3	4	7	7
Pupil Premium pupils	SEN	1	1	2	7
characteristics:	EAL	0	1	1	
	LAC	0	0	0	
Non Pupil Premium	Non PP	16	6	22	
Non Pupil Premium	SEN	1	0	1	
pupils characteristics:	EAL	0	0	0	22
	LAC	0	0	0	
SPaG eligible pupil		19	10	29	
	ALL	106.9	108.5	107.4	Average scaled score
SPaG* TEST	PP	104.0	106.0	105.1	120.0 105.1 108.2
orad ILSI	Non PP	107.4	110.2	108.2	100.0
	GAP	3.4	4.2	3.0	80.0
Re	eading eligible put	19	10	29	
	ALL	106.8	109.2	107.7	Average scaled score
READING	PP	100.7	106.3	103.9	120.0 103.9 108.9
TEST	Non PP	108.0	111.2	108.9	100.0
	GAP	7.3	4.9	5.0	80.0
N.	Asths eligible pup	19	10	29	
	ALL	109.9	111.3	110.4	Average scaled score
MATHS	PP	105.0	110.0	107.9	120.0 107.9 111.2
TEST	Non PP	110.9	112.2	111.2	100.0
	GAP	5.9	2.2	3.4	80.0

Average scaled scores for PP children were lower than non PP children in SPAG, reading and maths.

<sup>\*</sup> SPaG - Spelling, punctuation and grammar \*\* RWM - Reading, Writing TA and Maths combined

# St Annes CE Primary



#### **Pupil Characteristics Analysis**

Percentage of pupils achieving expected standard (100+ SATs or EXS/GDS Writing TA)

PERFORMANCE		NAT 2016					
DATA Expected Standard	No. of Pupils	SPaG* TEST	Reading TEST	Writing TA	Maths TEST	RWM**	RWM**
ALL	29	82.8%	89.7%	93.1%	93.1%	86.2%	53%
Boys	19	84.2%	89.5%	89.5%	89.5%	84.2%	50%
Girls	10	80.0%	90.0%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%	57%
Pupil Premium	7	57.1%	71.4%	85.7%	85.7%	71.4%	***
Non Pupil Premium	22	90.9%	95.5%	95.5%	95.5%	90.9%	***
SEN	3	33.3%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	66.7%	***
No SEN	26	88.5%	92.3%	96.2%	96.2%	88.5%	***
EAL	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	***
Non EAL	28	82.1%	89.3%	92.9%	92.9%	85.7%	***

<sup>\*</sup> SPaG - Spelling, punctuation and grammar

Percentage of pupils achieving high standard (high score SATs or GDS Writing TA)

PERFORMANCE		NAT 2016					
DATA High Standard	No. of Pupils	SPaG* TEST	Reading TEST	Writing TA	Maths TEST	RWM**	RWM**
ALL	29	34.5%	34.5%	41.4%	58.6%	24.1%	5%
Boys	19	31.6%	26.3%	31.6%	63.2%	21.1%	5%
Girls	10	40.0%	50.0%	60.0%	50.0%	30.0%	6%
Pupil Premium	7	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%	28.6%	14.3%	***
Non Pupil Premium	22	36.4%	36.4%	40.9%	68.2%	27.3%	***
SEN	3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	***
No SEN	26	38.5%	38.5%	46.2%	65.4%	26.9%	***
EAL	1	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	***
Non EAL	28	32.1%	32.1%	39.3%	57.1%	21.4%	***

<sup>\*</sup> SPaG - Spelling, punctuation and grammar

#### Average scaled score

PERFORMANCE		SCHOOL 2016				National 2016		
DATA Avg. scaled score	No. of Pupils	SPaG* TEST	Reading TEST	Maths TEST	SPaG TEST	Reading TEST	Maths TEST	
ALL	29	107.4	107.7	110.4	104	103	103	
Boys	19	106.9	106.8	109.9	103	102	103	
Girls	10	108.5	109.2	111.3	105	103	103	
Pupil Premium	7	105.1	103.9	107.9	***	***	***	
Non Pupil Premium	22	108.2	108.9	111.2	***	***	***	
SEN	3	96.7	98.0	102.7	***	***	***	
No SEN	26	108.7	108.8	111.3	***	***	***	
EAL	1	119.0	112.0	120.0	***	***	***	
Non EAL	28	107.0	107.5	110.1	***	***	***	

<sup>\*</sup> SPaG - Spelling, punctuation and grammar

Comparison to national data will be added as it becomes available.

<sup>\*\*</sup> RWM - Reading, Writing TA and Maths combined

<sup>\*\*</sup> RWM - Reading, Writing TA and Maths combined

<sup>\*\*</sup> RWM - Reading, Writing TA and Maths combined

	<u>In</u>	terventio	n Impact - other cohort	:S
<u>Year</u> group	Intervention	Number of pupils	<u>Progress</u>	<u>Impact</u>
Reception	Reading	4	75% of PP children made at least expected progress compared with 81.5% of non PP children National data not yet available (gap of 6.5%)	Successful intervention leading to most PP children (75%) making at least expected progress
	Writing	4	75% of PP children made at least expected progress compared with 77.8% of non PP children National data not yet available (gap of 2.8%)	Successful intervention leading to most PP children (75%) making at least expected progress
	Maths	4	100% of PP children made at least expected progress compared with 77.8% of non PP children ( gap of 22.2%) National data not yet available	Successful intervention leading to all PP children making at least expected progress

The gap between PP and Non PP children making the GLD has narrowed significantly since the previous year, falling from 81.5% (2014-2015) to 2.8% (20015-2016).

This year 77.8% of non PP children made the GLD, compared to 75% of PP children. This small gap is as a result of accurate ongoing assessment, followed up by focussed intervention, to enable children to make the necessary progress to reach the GLD.

Accelerating the progress of PP children in reading and writing will form areas of focus in Y1.

Year group	Intervention	Number of pupils	<u>Progress</u>	<u>Impact</u>
Y1	Reading	4	The gap between PP and non PP children initially increased in Autumn term (to 0.84pts). Intervention was used to narrow this gap to 0.5pts in Spring and to -0.02 by Summer term. 100% of PP children are on track or better in comparison to 92% of non PP children.	Intervention has been successfully targeted to accelerate the progress of PP children and, therefore, narrow the gap between them and non PP children.
	Writing	4	The gap between PP and non PP children has narrowed from 0.69pts (Autumn baseline) to 0.15pts (Summer term). 100% of PP children are on track or better in comparison to 88% of non PP children.	Intervention has been successfully targeted to accelerate the progress of PP children and, therefore, narrow the gap between them and non PP children.
	Maths	4	The gap between PP and non PP children has continued to	Intervention has been successfully targeted to accelerate the progress

Intervention has	boon used well	to norrow the	narrow across the year, ending at 0.23pts in Summer term. 100% of PP children are on track or better in comparison to 92% of non PP children.	of PP children and, therefore, narrow the gap between them and non PP children.
intervention has will continue to b			gaps in RWM for Y1 PP cl	nildren. I nese children
Year group	Intervention	Number of	<u>Progress</u>	<u>Impact</u>
<u>rear group</u>	intervention	pupils	<u>1 10g1033</u>	<u>impact</u>
Year 3	Reading	3	The gap between PP and non PP children has decreased over the year to 2.4pts in Summer term, from a starting gap of 2.72pts. Only 40% of PP children were on track or better at the end pf Summer term in comparison to 100% of non PP children.	Whilst intervention has narrowed the gap between PP and non pp children, it has not narrowed it sufficiently. Reading intervention will be a focus for these children as they enter Y4.
	Writing	3	The gap between PP and non PP children increased in Autumn term (2.04pts to 2.32pts. Intervention decreased this to 1.96pts in Spring term but the gap increased to 2.52pts (more than at the start of the year)by Summer term. Only 40% of PP children were on track or better at the end pf Summer term in comparison to 100% of non PP children.	Intervention has not been successful at narrowing the gap and maintaining the improvement in achievement. Writing intervention will be a focus for these children as they enter Y4.
	Maths	3	The gap between PP and non PP children narrowed from 2.32 pts to 1.12 pts over the year. 80% of PP children are on track or better compared with 96% of non PP children.	Intervention has been successful in narrowing the gap between PP and non PP children.
intervention has	not been succes	ssful in narrow	us for PP children as they ing the gap. Maths will als	
maintain the pro	gress made and	try to further	narrow the gap.	
Year group	Intervention	Number of	<u>Progress</u>	<u>Impact</u>

Year 4	Reading	5	Whilst the gap between PP and non PP children has decreased from the start of the year (0.28pts to 0.20), progress has not been steady. The gap decreased to 0.00pts in Autumn term, rose to 0.12pts in Spring term and then increased again to 0.2pts. 80 % of PP children are on track or better in comparison to 84% of non PP children.	Whilst intervention has narrowed the gap between PP and non pp children across the year, it has not narrowed it steadily, with gains made being lost again. Reading intervention will be a focus for these children as they enter Y5.
	Writing	5	The gap between PP and non PP children has increased from 0.16pts at the start of the year, to 0.28pts by the end of Summer term. Decreases were made in Autumn and Spring terms but this narrowing was not maintained for Summer term. 60% of Pp children are on track or better, compared to 76% of non PP children.	The gap between PP and non PP children in writing has not been successfully addressed by intervention. Whilst the gap is relatively small, it is larger by the end of the year. Writing intervention will be a focus for these children as they enter Y5.
	Maths	5	The gap between PP and non PP children has been maintained at – 0.20pts over the year. The gap did decrease in Autumn and Spring terms (-0.32 for both terms), but decreased in Summer term. 80% of PP children are on track or better in comparison to 72% of non PP children.	Intervention was more successful in Autumn and Spring terms than in Summer term. Despite this, PP children are outperforming non PP children.

Reading and writing interventions will be a focus for PP children as they move into Y5, as intervention has not been successful in narrowing the gap consistently.

Year group	Intervention	Number of pupils	<u>Progress</u>	<u>Impact</u>
Year 5	Reading	5	The gap between PP and non PP children has steadily decreased over the year to 1.14pts at the end of Summer term. Despite this, only 40% of PP children are on track or better,	Whilst intervention has narrowed the gap slightly, further intervention is needed to bring a larger percentage of PP children to on track or better.

	l			
			compared to 88% of	
			non PP children.	
	Writing	5	The gap between PP and non PP children decreased in Autumn term, rose again in Spring term and decreased again in Summer term. Overall, the gap narrowed from 1.43pts to 1.18pts. Despite this, only 40% of PP children are on track or better, compare to 85% of non PP children.	Whilst intervention has narrowed the gap slightly, further intervention is needed to bring a larger percentage of PP children to on track or better.
	Maths	5	The gap between PP and non PP children has steadily narrowed across the year, from 1.18pts to 0.69 pts. Despite this, only 60% of PP children are on track or better, compared with 92% of non PP children.	Whilst intervention has narrowed the gap slightly, further intervention is needed to bring a larger percentage of PP children to on track or better.
Intervention in reading and writing will be focus areas for PP children as they enter Y6. Maths intervention is also needed, although to a lesser degree to increase the percentage of PP				
children who are				-
		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·